

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,362	04/25/2001	Gunnar Back	3191/01393	6563
7:	590 06/26/2002			
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			EXAMINER	
805 Third Aver New York, NY			WILLIAMS	S, ERIC M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/842,362	BACK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric M Williams	3681			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	TION. ' CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. sy, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>25 April 2001</i> .				
	☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-127</u> is/are pending in the ap					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-127</u> are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	·			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		aa Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection	•				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- ·	···			
If approved, corrected drawings are require		sopproved by the braining.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority doci	uments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign langua	ge provisional application has be	en received.			
Attachment(s)	, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,	- · ·			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/842,362

Art Unit: 3681

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The applicant must either:

1.) elect one of the following species: Fig. 2; 3; 19a,b; 20a,b; 21-22; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8; 25-28; 9 and 13,13a; 10; 11; 12; 23; 24; 17a,b; 18a,b; 14-16a,b; 30; 31 and 32a; 32b; 33; 34a; 34b; 35

or

2.) choose one of the aforementioned species in combination with only one of the following: Figures 29a; 29b; 29c; 29d; 29e; 29f; 29g; 29h; 29i; 29j; 29k. .

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 4, 5, and 121 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/842,362

Art Unit: 3681

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Henry Sternberg on 6-25-02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M Williams whose telephone number is 703-305-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. – Fri. from 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

FMW

June 25, 2002

CHARLES A. MARMOR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE .

3681